Eligibility Record Review Document Functional Mental Disability

 $\underline{707~KAR~1:002~Section~1(37)}$ and $\underline{707~KAR~1:310}$

ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
The ARC documented evidence showing the student's cognitive functioning is at least three standard deviations below the mean.		
The ARC documented evidence showing the student's adaptive behavior is at least three standard deviations below the mean. For <u>reevaluation</u> purposes, it is <i>not</i> necessary to continue to meet the three standard deviation deficit in adaptive behavior as long as the ARC considers the increase in the adaptive behavior score and documents the reasons the student continues to meet eligibility requirements for FMD.		
The ARC documented evidence showing a severe deficit exists in overall academic performance including acquisition, retention and application of knowledge.		
The ARC documented evidence showing the disability manifested during the developmental period.		
The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented		
NOTE: <i>Educational Performance</i> includes academic, social and functional performance.		
The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision. Discussion may include, but is not limited to: instruction provided by qualified personnel intervention data based on the student's response to instruction setting of instruction attendance records work samples disciplinary removals		
	is at least three standard deviations below the mean. The ARC documented evidence showing the student's adaptive behavior is at least three standard deviations below the mean. For reevaluation purposes, it is not necessary to continue to meet the three standard deviation deficit in adaptive behavior as long as the ARC considers the increase in the adaptive behavior score and documents the reasons the student continues to meet eligibility requirements for FMD. The ARC documented evidence showing a severe deficit exists in overall academic performance including acquisition, retention and application of knowledge. The ARC documented evidence showing the disability manifested during the developmental period. The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance. The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision. Discussion may include, but is not limited to: • instruction provided by qualified personnel • intervention data based on the student's response to instruction • setting of instruction • attendance records • work samples	is at least three standard deviations below the mean. The ARC documented evidence showing the student's adaptive behavior is at least three standard deviations below the mean. For reevaluation purposes, it is not necessary to continue to meet the three standard deviation deficit in adaptive behavior as long as the ARC considers the increase in the adaptive behavior score and documents the reasons the student continues to meet eligibility requirements for FMD. The ARC documented evidence showing a severe deficit exists in overall academic performance including acquisition, retention and application of knowledge. The ARC documented evidence showing the disability manifested during the developmental period. The ARC documented the review and analysis of evaluation information confirming the deficits have an adverse effect on educational performance to the extent specially-designed instruction is needed. The ARC: • showed how the student's performance is significantly and consistently below similar age peers due to the disability • described the unique differences of the student that warrant specially designed instruction (SDI) • drew upon information from a variety of sources and ensured the information obtained from these sources was carefully considered and documented NOTE: Educational Performance includes academic, social and functional performance. The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming lack of instruction in reading and math was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision. Discussion may include, but is not limited to: • instruction provided by qualified personnel • intervention data based on the student's response to instruction • setting of instruction • setting of instruction • attendance records • work samples • disciplinary removals

Eligibility Record Review Document Functional Mental Disability

Multi-Disc	ciplinary Evaluation, Eligibility Determination Form, Conference	Yes	No
Summary			
FMD 4	The ARC documented the review and analysis of evidence confirming limited English proficiency was not a determinant factor in the eligibility decision.		
	May be documented in one of these areas:		
	social-developmental history		
	• Summary of Past and Present Support in Conference Summary		
FMD 5	The parent was provided a copy of the evaluation report <u>and</u> documentation of eligibility determination. <u>34 CFR 300.306</u>		
	□ Yes □ No		
	OR		
	If the parent did not attend the ARC meeting, the ARC documented that a copy of the evaluation report and documentation of eligibility determination were sent home to the parent.		
	□ Yes □ No		
FMD 6	ARC membership includes all of the following as defined in 707 KAR 1:320 Section 3 (1):		
	Required at all ARC meetings □ parent(s)		
	regular education teacher		
	□ special education teacher□ LEA representative		
	☐ individual qualified to interpret results		
	Additional members as appropriate		
	☐ individual with knowledge or special expertise regarding the student ☐ related services personnel		
	☐ the student		
	NOTE: Complete this section OR items 15 through 21 of the IDEA Record		
	Review document. It is NOT necessary to complete both.		