



## Reading and Writing Review Committee Assessment Blueprints

**Date:** October 25, 2019

**Time:** 9:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m.

**Location:** 300 Sower Blvd., 540A, Frankfort, KY

<b>Meeting Purpose:</b>	Reading and Writing Review Committee for Assessment Blueprints
<b>Meeting Called by:</b>	Kentucky Department of Education, Office of Standards, Assessment and Accountability
<b>Members:</b>	Kaye Fulkerson, Jenni Helmick, Rachel Holbrook, Sheila Robinson, Liz Prather, David Goodlett, Michael DiCicco, Amy Flint, Annette Williams

Time	Focus	Lead	Discussion
9:34 a.m.	Welcome	Whitney Hamilton Kelly Philbeck	<b>Welcome</b>
9:35	Meeting called to order		<b>Roll Call</b>  <b>Present: RC Members: Jenni Helmick, Liz Prather, David Goodlett, Sheila Robinson, Kaye Fulkerson</b> <b>KDE: Whitney Hamilton, Danna Green, Kelly Philbeck, Shara Savage</b>
9:36	Approval of the Agenda		<b>Motion to approve agenda Kaye Fulkerson</b> <b>Second motion – David Goodlett</b> All members were in favor.
9:37	Review Committee Norms		Whitney led introductions of KDE staff and RC members and reviewed the committee norms.
9:38	Presentation of SB1 Requirements and Process		Whitney explained the Kentucky Academic Standards revision and assessment alignment process as set forth in SB1, 2017, and the requirement to include a review committee for final proposal. She then discussed an overview of the organization of the Reading and Writing standards.
9:45	Review Committee Work on Blueprints (Review Public Comments, Review Work from Advisory Panel and Draft Proposed Blueprints)		Kelly reviewed the materials that would be used in today's work, starting with the draft blueprint survey that went out for public comment. She explained the domain categories as they link to the KAS for Reading and Writing. Kelly next asked the committee to open the blueprint survey and worksheets in the provided Google Drive and explained them. Whitney and Kelly then explained the third-party survey participation rates and response results. They then charged the committee to work together where possible to review the third-party survey details, including comments. Kelly explained that they will also need to review and consider the work completed by the Advisory Panel (AP) Committee earlier this month. The committee was instructed to add their comments in the cells of the blueprint worksheet. Additionally, Whitney

Time	Focus	Lead	Discussion
			reminded the committee that their objective is to oversee the work of the Advisory Panel; therefore, part of today's work is to respond to the Advisory Panel's recommendation and provide justification for feedback.
	Review Committee Work on Blueprints (Review Public Comments, Review Work from Advisory Panel and Draft Proposed Blueprints)		The committee had 100% agreement with Advisory Panel on the reading blueprints. Whitney and Kelly reviewed the committee's worksheets. For the on-demand writing, the Review Committee agrees with the panels justification and future recommendation based on their concerns. The Review Committee recognizes the public's concern that a signal mode of writing does not exclude students from using informational and narrative tools to support their argumentation. For editing and mechanics, the committee agrees with the AP recommendations to align with percentages across all grade levels. The committee updated the PFD to move from draft to "final" and added comments.
	Pulse Check		
11:38	Close of Meeting		Meeting Adjourned Kaye Fulkerson motioned to adjourn the meeting. David Goodlett seconded the motion. All members were in favor.