Session 3: Student Progress

This tool draws from the *Kentucky Academic Standards (KAS) for Mathematics Assessment Review Protocol. Teachers may choose to utilize other instructional rubrics or protocols during this session.*

|  |
| --- |
| **Preparing to Analyze Student Work**  |
| **Standard(s):** Does the task focus on one or more grade-appropriate mathematics standards? |
| What standard(s) does this task address?  |
| **Do the math:** Solve the task by creating *at least* 2 different exemplar student responses. |
| Work Space | Predict incorrect solution strategies (e.g., misconceptions, procedural errors). How will you proactively address these misconceptions? |
| **Keys to Mastery:** How is the target of the standard addressed in this task? |
| ***Conceptual Understanding***If the target of the standard is conceptual understanding, what do students have to **know** in order to demonstrate mastery on this task?  | ***Procedural Skill/Fluency***If the target of the standard is procedural skill/fluency, what do students have to be able to do/**show** in order to demonstrate mastery on this task? How are students asked to connect procedures back to underlying conceptual ideas? | ***Application***If the target of the standard is application, what opportunities exist for students to apply their learning to solve problems in a relevant and meaningful way? |

|  |
| --- |
| **Analyzing Student Work** |
| **Student Name** | **Mastery** (M)**Partial Mastery** (P)**No Mastery** (N) | **Evidence of Strengths*** What is the evidence of mastery? Did students meet the target of the standard (conceptual understanding, procedural skill, and/or application)? If so, how?
 | **Evidence of Opportunities for Growth*** Which expectation(s) of the standard (conceptual understanding, procedural skill, and/or application) do students need additional support with?
 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Step 3. Respond to Student Work by Adjusting Instructional Practice** |
| **Standard(s):**  | **Re-teach Learning Objective:**  |
| **Actions:** Compared to the first time this was taught, what is new and different about this approach? Be specific and include **method**  and **resources** (e.g. bar models, small groups, fluency activities, base ten blocks) | **Who:** (Whole group, Small group, Strategic Pairs, Individual, Other) | **When:** (Timeline and/or Frequency) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **How/when will mastery be reassessed?** |
|  |