Kentucky Department of Education Office of Teaching and Learning

Title II, Part A Performance Review

Self-Assessment and Monitoring Protocol

_____ District

As a recipient of Title II, Part A grant funds from the United States Department of Education (USDE), the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE) is required to conduct compliance reviews to ensure that school districts use funds in accordance with the authorizing statute. The objectives of the reviews are:

- To assess the degree to which program requirements are being fulfilled and make recommendations when appropriate and
- To provide technical assistance and guidance to strengthen program administration and quality.

For monitoring the areas of review will include: (1) teacher certification; (2) program development, implementation, and evaluation; (3) participation of private schools; and (4) financial management. Program records and supporting documentation must be made available for review, and each monitoring indicator lists sample documentation which may be provided. Please note the sample documentation listed is not an all-encompassing list, as other forms of evidence may be provided.

<u>Instructions</u>: Please respond to each self-assessment question. For items requesting a brief response, please provide a narrative answer or submit responsive documentation and indicate in the response (a) the document(s) that are responsive to each question and (b) the specific page or pages of the relevant documents that address each question. Please include each document file name in the "Submitted Documentation File Name[s]" column. In some cases, the same document may be responsive to multiple questions. In that case, you can submit the documentation once and refer to it in multiple questions (including page numbers for each section, as relevant).



Self-Assessment Questions

I. Teacher Certification

| Requirement | Yes | No | Submitted Documentation File Name(s) |
|---|-----|----|--------------------------------------|
| A. The district ensures that all teachers are appropriately | | | |
| certified upon their hiring. If there are teachers not meeting | | | |
| certification requirements, the district supports these teachers | | | |
| with appropriate professional learning. ESEA 1111 (h)(1)(C)(ix)(II) and 2101(d)(2)(H) | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | |
| most recent EPSB certification report | | | |
| professional learning expenditures | | | |
| professional learning plans | | | |
| invoices for coursework | | | |
| district support plans for new and/or noncertified staff | | | |
| B. The district notifies parents of their right to request and receive information on the qualifications of their children's teachers. ESEA Section 1112(e)(1)(A)(i) | | | |
| Connected Decomposite in . | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | |
| dated letter of parents' right to request teacher qualification information. | | | |
| information | | | |
| notification in student handbook | | | |
| C. All schools notify parents when their children are taught for four | | | |
| or more consecutive weeks by teachers who do not meet | | | |
| certification standards. This includes emergency certified teachers. | | | |
| The KDE requires this of all schools under the Equitable Access to | | | |
| Effective Educators State Plan ESEA Section 1112(e)(1)(B)(ii) | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | |
| dated notification letter(s) | | | |
| (5) | | | |



II. Program Development, Implementation and Evaluation

| Requirement | District Response | Submitted |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|
| Requirement | District Response | Documentation |
| | | File Name(s) |
| A. Describe how the district | enter brief response here | |
| provides <i>all</i> shareholders the | | |
| opportunity to consult, design, | | |
| implement, continually update and | | |
| improve activities supported | | |
| through the Title II, Part A program. | | |
| Shareholders include teachers, | | |
| principals, other school leaders, | | |
| paraprofessionals, specialized | | |
| instructional support personnel, | | |
| parents, community partners, and | | |
| other organizations or partners. | | |
| ESEA Section 2102(b)(3) | | |
| Suggested Desumentation. | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| agendas | | |
| meeting minutes a pign in about (names and group) | | |
| sign in sheets (names and areas fragrassatting about the | | |
| of representation should be | | |
| included for all shareholder groups) | | |
| meeting invitations | | |
| surveys/feedback | | |
| • letters/emails | | |
| B. Describe how the district | enter brief response here | |
| designs, conducts and utilizes a | | |
| comprehensive needs assessment | | |
| to inform and support the use of | | |
| Title II, Part A funded activities and | | |
| initiatives. | | |
| | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| CSIP/CDIP or other | | |
| comprehensive needs | | |
| assessment documents | | |
| meeting dates, minutes and/or | | |
| sign-in sheets | | |
| documentation that contributed | | |
| to the needs assessment (survey | | |
| results, academic data, | | |
| graduation rates, etc.) | | |
| completed needs assessment | | |
| | | |



| C. Describe how the district | enter brief response here | |
|---|--|--|
| prioritizes funds to schools who are | | |
| most in need of improvement | | |
| (Targeted Support and Improvement | | |
| (TSI) and Comprehensive Support | | |
| and Improvement (CSI) schools) and | | |
| schools that have the highest | | |
| percentages of students in poverty. | | |
| ESEA Section 2102(b)(2)(C) | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| district needs assessment | | |
| detailed MUNIS | | |
| staffing allocations | | |
| professional learning plans | | |
| D. Describe how class size reduction | enter brief response here | |
| staff funded through Title II, Part A | enter N/A if the district does not use funds for CSR | |
| are directly connected to identified | | |
| district/school needs, how CSR staff | | |
| are determined to be effective and | | |
| how class size numbers from the | | |
| class size reduction strategy are | | |
| research based. ESEA Sections | | |
| 2102(b)(2)(B) and 2102(b)(2)(D) | | |
| | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| needs assessment | | |
| CDIP/CSIP | | |
| cited research for class size | | |
| reduction (grade-level specific) | | |
| • staff certification | | |
| staff effectiveness evidence | | |
| • CSR staff job description | | |
| effectiveness evidence if CSR staff | | |
| has been previously utilized | | |
| nus been previously utilized | | |
| E. Describe how professional | enter brief response here | |
| learning activities funded by Title II, | enter N/A if the district does not use funds for professional learning | |
| Part A are based on a review of | | |
| evidence-based research and meet | | |
| the statutory definition of | | |
| professional learning, which requires | | |
| that PL be: | | |
| sustained | | |
| intensive | | |
| collaborative | | |
| • job-embedded | | |
| data-driven | | |
| • uata-unven | | |



| and classroom-focused | | |
|--|---|--|
| ESEA Sections 2101(b)(2)(B), | | |
| 2102(b)(2)(D), 8101(42) | | |
| Suggested documentation: • CSIP/CDIP • professional learning plans | | |
| research of evidence-based practices | | |
| F. Describe how the district implements supplemental recruiting and retention strategies that intentionally target low-income schools with high percentages of ineffective teachers and high percentages of students who do not meet the challenging state academic standards, to improve equitable access to effective teachers within the district. ESEA Section 2103(b)(3)(B) | enter brief response here enter N/A if the district does not use funds for recruitment or retention | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| • needs assessment | | |
| invoicesdetailed MUNIS | | |
| • CSIP/CDIP | | |
| • meeting minutes | | |
| G. Describe the district's systems of professional growth and improvement. Include descriptions of structures such as staff induction programs and opportunities for building the capacity of teachers and opportunities to develop meaningful teacher leadership. ESEA Sections 2102(b)(2)(B), 2102(b)(2)(D), and 8101(42) | enter brief response here | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| needs assessment | | |
| CSIP/CDIPprofessional learning plans | | |
| agendas | | |
| staff surveys/feedback | | |



| <u></u> | | , |
|--|--|--------------|
| H. Describe how district personnel compensated from Title II, Part A funds are performing assignments appropriate to program implementation as referenced in the district's approved application. ESEA Section 8306 | enter brief response here enter N/A if the district does not use funds for staff compensation | |
| Suggested Documentation: Iog of activities Iesson plans MUNIS teacher assignment roster needs assessment job description | | |
| I. Describe how the district's Title II, Part A coordinator consults with schools in the development of school programs. ESEA Section 2102(b)(3) Suggested Documentation: • meeting minutes • agendas • email communication • CSIP | enter brief response here | |
| J. Describe how the district annually evaluates the effectiveness of the Title II, Part A program to ensure that student and educator needs are being addressed. ESEA Section 2102(b)(2)(D) Suggested Documentation: • program evaluation plan • program evaluation data • meeting minutes • needs assessment | enter brief response here | |



III. Participation of Private Schools

| Requirement | Yes | No | N/A | Submitted Documentation File Name(s) |
|---|-----|----|-----|--------------------------------------|
| A. Are there private or home schools(non-public) being served by Title II, | | | | , , |
| Part A? (Complete the following if non-public schools in the district have | | | | |
| elected to participate). | | | | |
| | | | | |
| If no is checked, no further action is required for this section. | | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | | |
| list of participating non-public schools | | | | |
| letters to non-public schools; evidence of receipt | | | | |
| declaration of participation | | | | |
| B. The district has consulted with participating non-public schools (NPS) | | | | |
| and has completed the required Equitable Service Consultation packet. | | | | |
| ESEA Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 8501 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | | |
| signed and dated consultation packet | | | | |
| C. The district has two-way, ongoing communication with all NPS served | | | | |
| by Title II, Part A to determine the needs of those schools and whether | | | | |
| those needs are being met. ESEA Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 8501 | | | | |
| those needs are semigrined 2027 toodions 2202(5)(2)(2)(2)(4) and 6002 | | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | | |
| records from consultation meetings | | | | |
| evidence of evaluation of multiple data sources | | | | |
| D. Participating NPS programs are operated and overseen by the public | | | | |
| school district and documentation verifies that the services provided for | | | | |
| participating NPS assist in meeting the professional learning needs of the | | | | |
| teachers as identified during consultation. Services provided are non- | | | | |
| ideological/non-faith based. ESEA Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 8501 | | | | |
| lucological/horr fatti basca. ESEA Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 0501 | | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | | |
| • invoices | | | | |
| consultation forms | | | | |
| • surveys | | | | |
| needs assessments | | | | |
| needs assessmentsevaluations | | | | |
| | | | | |
| professional learning records professional learning records professional learning records | | | | |
| evidence of regular consultation with NPS officials | | | | |
| E. Procedures have been established for the retrieval of Title II, Part A | | | | |
| purchases made on behalf of NPS when the materials are no longer | | | | |
| needed for program purposes. The procedures require that retrieved | | | | |



| purchases be distributed equitably among participating NPS. ESEA | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 8501 | | | |
| | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | |
| equipment disposal procedures | | | |
| F. Expenditures have been made for NPS teachers on an equitable basis | | | |
| and in accordance with the approved Title II, Part A application. ESEA | | | |
| Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 8501 | | | |
| | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | |
| • invoices | | | |
| detailed MUNIS | | | |
| Title II, Part A budget | | | |
| G. Private/home school officials have been notified of the district's | | | |
| complaint procedure in the event there is a question of equitability of | | | |
| services. ESEA Sections 2102(b)(2)(E) and 8501 | | | |
| | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | |
| complaint procedure | | | |
| consultation agreement | | | |
| • interviews | | | |



IV. Financial Management

| Requirement | Yes | No | N/A | District Response | Submitted |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|------|-------------------|---------------|
| Requirement | 103 | 110 | 14/7 | District Nesponse | Documentation |
| | | | | | File Name(s) |
| A. Staffing patterns and financial | | | | | , , |
| expenditures/obligations to date | | | | | |
| are consistent and aligned with | | | | | |
| the approved Title II, Part A GMAP | | | | | |
| application (does spending align | | | | | |
| with district needs and the | | | | | |
| approved GMAP budget?). ESEA | | | | | |
| section 8306, 2 CFR 200.302 | | | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | | | |
| list and count of staff | | | | | |
| financial expenditures on | | | | | |
| detailed MUNIS | | | | | |
| CDIP/CSIP | | | | | |
| needs assessment | | | | | |
| approved GMAP application | | | | | |
| B. The district has written | | | | | |
| procedures in place for time and | | | | | |
| effort. These written procedures | | | | | |
| should include instructions for | | | | | |
| completion of time and | | | | | |
| attendance reporting and the | | | | | |
| processing of personnel charges | | | | | |
| to federal awards. 2 CFR 200.430 | | | | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | | | | |
| District time and effort | | | | | |
| procedures | | | | | |
| C. The district maintains | | | | | |
| documentation for any | | | | | |
| employees paid partially or solely | | | | | |
| with Title II, Part A funds to | | | | | |
| support the allocability, veracity | | | | | |
| and accuracy of the work | | | | | |
| performed. Records include the | | | | | |
| time amount/percentage worked | | | | | |
| directly with Title II, Part A | | | | | |
| programs, aligns with the | | | | | |
| approved GMAP budget and is | | | | | |
| signed and dated by the | | | | | |
| employee and his/her immediate | | | | | |
| supervisor. | | | | | |



| 2 CFR 200.430; 2CFR 200.431 | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| PAR, supported by a calendar/ | | |
| schedule of duties/activities | | |
| that supports the FTE paid | | |
| with Title II, Part A funds | | |
| Signed and dated semi-annual | | |
| certification and/or monthly | | |
| PAR reports, employee | | |
| schedules | | |
| D. A separate accounting of Title | | |
| II, Part A funds is maintained in the MUNIS system identified by | | |
| school units. ESEA Section 8306 | | |
| SCHOOL UTILES. ESEA SECTION 8500 | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| detailed MUNIS | | |
| E. Describe how the district | enter brief description here | |
| maintains adequate internal | | |
| controls in the disbursement of | | |
| Title II, Part A funds. ESEA Section | | |
| 8306 | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| procurement policies | | |
| signed invoices | | |
| F. The district documents that | | |
| Title II funds supplement, not | | |
| supplant, general funds. ESEA | | |
| Section 8306 | | |
| | | |
| Suggested Documentation: | | |
| school council general funds | | |
| staffing allocations | | |
| expenditure reports | | |
| signed assurances | | |
| • MUNIS | | |

