



Science Advisory Panel and Review Committee Meeting

Date: September 8, 2022

Time: 2:30 - 3:30 p.m. ET

Location: <https://air-org.zoom.us/meeting/register/tJ0scuyqqjIoE9GGZgECvkOrdIDE4v1po3Qt>

Meeting Purpose:	Review, revise, and develop the Kentucky Academic Standards for science and the alignment of the assessment blueprint in accordance with Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 158.6453.
Meeting Called by:	Bridina Lemmer
Members:	<p>Present:</p> <p>Advisory Panels (AP): Freda Rigsby, Andy Sherlock, Shannon Wells, Jeanine Huss, Kathleen Taft, Ashley Hoskins, Amanda Staggs, Amanda Ballman, Robert Boram, Stephanie Harmon, Nancy Broyles, Cathy Sammons, Matt Hayes, Geoffrey Gearner, Dan Pascucci</p> <p>KDE Staff: Michael Hackworth, Rhonda Sims, Jennifer Stafford, Melissa Chandler, Kevin Hill, Kevin O’Hair</p> <p>AIR Staff: Bridina Lemmer, Doug Paulson</p>

Time	Focus	Lead	Discussion
2:30-2:45	Call to Order, Roll Call, Meeting Agenda	Bridina Lemmer	<p>Meeting called to Order: at 2:33 p.m. ET</p> <p>Roll call: Freda Rigsby, Andy Sherlock, Shannon Wells, Jeanine Huss, Kathleen Taft, Ashley Hoskins, Amanda Staggs, Amanda Ballman, Robert Boram, Stephanie Harmon, Nancy Broyles, Cathy Sammons, Matt Hayes, Geoffrey Gearner, Dan Pascucci</p> <p>Motion to accept agenda: Nancy Broyles <i>Seconded: Freda Rigsby</i></p>
2:45-3:00	Discussion and recommendations for Target Percentages	Doug Paulson	Advisory Panel (AP) members reviewed their initial thinking on target percentages for 4th, 7th, and high school and ultimately recommended no changes to the target percentages. For 4th grade, they felt the target percentages reflect the distribution of domains from kindergarten through 4th grade. For middle school, the AP felt the target percentages reflected the distribution of domains from 5th -7th grade noting the higher percentage of physical science standards in 7th grade. For high school, the AP felt the target percentages reflect

			the distribution of domains from 8th grade through high school.
3:00-3:15	Discussion and Recommendations for Assessment Blueprint Language	Doug Paulson	<p>Advisory panel members reviewed the assessment blueprint language for clarity and to ensure that the blueprint conveys information to both teachers and test developers about the makeup of the test. No changes were recommended to the language; however committee members did recommend making sample items more readily available to teachers to support.</p> <p>Meeting adjourned: 3:47 p.m. Motion made: Nancy Broyles <i>Seconded: Goeff Gearner</i></p>

