	Science Advisory Panel and Review Committee Meeting
	Date: August 18, 2022 **Time: 9:03 - 3:47 p.m. ET** **Location:** https://air-org.zoom.us/meeting/register/tJwvceusrT4qGtGdNoFFFdUN2V4nMqDupl7Y

Meeting Purpose:	Review, revise, and develop the Kentucky Academic Standards for science and the alignment of the assessment blueprint in accordance with Kentucky Revised Statute (KRS) 158.6453.
Meeting Called by:	Bridina Lemmer
Members:	**Present:** **Advisory Panels (RC):** Freda Rigsby, Shannon Wells, Gerald Brashear, Gina Crider, Jillian Booth, Jeanine Huss, Carey Ruff, Kathleen Taft, Asheley Hoskins, Amanda Staggs, Amanda Ballman, Robert Boram, Brittany Wray, Nancy Broyles, Cathy Sammons, Matthew Hayes, Geoffrey Gearner **Review Committee (RC):** Christina Morris, Brian Womack, Jamaal Stiles, Diane Johnson, Kim Yates **KDE Staff:** Rae McEntyre, Thomas Clouse, Chrystal Rowland, Michael Hackworth, Rhonda Sims, Jennifer Stafford, Melissa Chandler, Kevin Hill, Kevin O'Hair, Helen Jones **AIR Staff:** Bridina Lemmer, Doug Paulson, Leslie Dahl **Public Observers**: Richard Innes, Deborah Cauthen, Teena Liles, Sara Berry, Samantha Morril, Teena Halbig **Not Present:** Andy Sherlock, Sarah Barker, Denise Donahue, Claire Johnson, Brittany Rutledge, Derek Stice, Stephanie Harmon, Brandon Sumner, Emaleigh Osborn, Samuel Northern, Tanya Begley, Diane Gilb

Time	Focus	Lead	Discussion
9:03-9:15	Call to Order, Roll Call, Meeting Agenda	Bridina Lemmer and Doug Paulson	**Meeting called to Order:** at 9:03 a.m. ET **Roll call:** Freda Rigsby, Shannon Wells, Gerald Brashear, Gina Crider, Jillian Booth, Jeanine Huss, Carey Ruff, Kathleen Taft, Asheley Hoskins, Amanda Staggs, Amanda Ballman, Robert Boram, Brittany Wray, Nancy Broyles, Cathy Sammons, Matthew Hayes, Geoffrey Gearner , Christina Morris, Brian Womack, Jamaal Stiles, Diane Johnson, Kim Yates **Motion to accept agenda: Jamaal Stiles** *Seconded: Gina Crider*

9:05-9:35	Housekeeping and Overview of progress	Bridina Lemmer and Doug Paulson	AP and RC members reviewed the process and the work completed so far, the work to be completed and a high level summary of survey responses for both the standards and assessment blueprint. Committee members also heard a brief overview of the purpose of the science assessment blueprint.
9:35-10:09	Review of tabled motion	Bridina Lemmer and Doug Paulson	The Advisory Panel discussed whether the standard should be considered the performance expectation or the whole page. 10:09 a.m.: *Motion to recommend that the standard be considered the foundation boxes, performance expectation, clarification statement and assessment boundaries which would allow for a paradigm shift in teaching science- not just the performance expectation.* **Motion made by: Freda Rigsby** *Seconded: Jillian Booth* Committee members will vote after lunch.
10:09-10:30	Review of Airtable and Process for reviewing and responding to public feedback	Bridina Lemmer and Doug Paulson	Committee members reviewed the navigation of the Airtable containing all public feedback and the logistics for completing their review of public comment, finalizing recommendations, revising justification statements and reviewing and making recommendations to the assessment blueprint.
10:30-10:40	Break		
10:40-12:00	Individual Committee Working Time		Advisory Panels met independently to i) review and discuss public comment received on draft standards, ii)make recommendations on whether to make further adjustments to each standard, iii) revise justification statements as needed, iv) review public comment received on assessment blueprint and v) make recommendations on where and whether to adjust the assessment blueprint.

			The Review Committee met independently to i) review and discuss public comment received on draft standards, ii)review Advisory Panel final recommendations to the standards, iii) reviewed the revised justification statements and iv) determined whether to accept or reject the Advisory Panel Recommendation.
12:00-1:00	Lunch on own		
1:00-1:15	Committee voting		Committee members voted on the motion to recommend that the standard be considered the foundation boxes, performance expectation, clarification statement and assessment boundaries which would allow for a paradigm shift in teaching science- not just the performance expectation. **Advisory Panel:** Motion passed unanimously. **Review Committee**: The RC voted to pass the measure.
1:15-3:00	Individual Committee Working Time		Advisory Panels met independently to i) review and discuss public comment received on draft standards, ii)make recommendations on whether to make further adjustments to each standard, iii) revise justification statements as needed, iv) review public comment received on assessment blueprint and v) make recommendations on where and whether to adjust the assessment blueprint. The Review Committee met independently to i) review and discuss public comment received on draft standards, ii)review Advisory Panel final recommendations to the standards, iii) reviewed the revised justification statements, and iv) determined whether to accept or reject the Advisory Panel Recommendation.
3:00-3:25	*Advisory Panel Assessment Blueprint Discussion, Review Committee Individual Working Time*		The Advisory Panels completed their recommendations to the science standards based on public feedback. While the Review Committee continued their review of the Advisory Panel recommendations to the standards, the Advisory Panels discussed public feedback and implications of their work on the science assessment blueprint.

3:25-3:37	Debrief and Next Steps, Advisory Panel		All public feedback on the standards was reviewed and the advisory panel completed their final recommendations on the standards. Advisory Panel Meeting adjourned: 3:37 p.m. **Motion made: Cathy Sammons** *Seconded: Mandie Ballman*
3:37-3:47	Debrief and Next Steps, Review Committee		Advisory Panel Recommendations were reviewed and approved by the Review Committee. Meeting adjourned: 3:47 p.m. **Motion made: Diane Johnson** *Seconded: Jamaal Stiles*